

# On some combinations of multiple zeta-star values

Kohtaro IMATOMI  
 Tatsushi TANAKA  
 Koji TASAKA  
 Noriko WAKABAYASHI

*(Received September 20, 2012,  
 Revised November 20, 2012)*

## Abstract

We prove that the sum of multiple zeta-star values over all indices inserted two 2's into the string  $3, 1, \dots, 3, 1$  is evaluated to a rational multiple of powers of  $\pi^2$ . We also establish certain conjectures on evaluations of multiple zeta-star values observed by numerical experiments.

**Keywords:** multiple zeta-star values, evaluation, double shuffle algebra, non-commutative polynomial algebra, Bowman-Bradley theorem

## 1. Introduction/Main theorem

We discuss some explicit evaluations of multiple zeta values (MZV's for short) and multiple zeta-star values (MZSV's for short), which are defined, for positive integers  $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n$  with  $k_1 \geq 2$ , by the convergent series

$$\zeta(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n) = \sum_{m_1 > m_2 > \dots > m_n > 0} \frac{1}{m_1^{k_1} m_2^{k_2} \cdots m_n^{k_n}}$$

and

$$\zeta^*(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n) = \sum_{m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \dots \geq m_n \geq 1} \frac{1}{m_1^{k_1} m_2^{k_2} \cdots m_n^{k_n}},$$

respectively. The number  $k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_n$  is called weight. When  $n = 1$ , MZV's and MZSV's coincide and are known as the special values of the Riemann zeta function at positive integers.

Special values of the Riemann zeta function have been investigated since Euler. Several results are found for example in [3, 7, 16, 24]. For MZV's, the following evaluation was proved by many authors [5, 8, 21, 22, ...]: for  $m > 0$ , we have

$$\zeta(\underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_m) = \frac{\pi^{2m}}{(2m+1)!}.$$

---

The work was partially supported by Kyoto Sangyo University Research Grants.

Zagier conjectured the formula

$$\zeta(\underbrace{3, 1, \dots, 3, 1}_{2n}) = \frac{2\pi^{4n}}{(4n+2)!}$$

for  $n > 0$  in [22], which was solved in [4] by using certain property of the iterated integral shuffle product rule. Kontsevich and Zagier gave another proof of the formula in connection with the Gauss hypergeometric function ([12]). In [6, 14], the following more general identity (Bowman-Bradley theorem) is proved: for any non-negative integers  $n$  and  $m$  with  $n+m > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{j_0+j_1+\dots+j_{2n}=m \\ j_0, j_1, \dots, j_{2n} \geq 0}} \zeta(\{2\}^{j_0}, 3, \{2\}^{j_1}, 1, \dots, 3, \{2\}^{j_{2n-1}}, 1, \{2\}^{j_{2n}}) \\ = \binom{m+2n}{m} \frac{\pi^{2m+4n}}{(2n+1)(2m+4n+1)!}, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where  $\{2\}^j$  stands for the  $j$ -tuple of 2. More precise conjecture is introduced in [4, Conjecture 1].

While the special evaluations are well studied in the MZV's case, there are less observations on special evaluations of MZSV's. For  $m > 0$ , the property

$$\zeta^\star(\underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_m) \in \mathbb{Q} \cdot \pi^{2m}$$

is proved for example in [1, 8, 21, 23]. In [13], formulas

$$\zeta^\star(\underbrace{3, 1, \dots, 3, 1}_{2n}) \in \mathbb{Q} \cdot \pi^{4n}$$

for  $n > 0$  and

$$\sum_{\substack{j_0+j_1+\dots+j_{2n}=1 \\ j_0, j_1, \dots, j_{2n} \geq 0}} \zeta^\star(\{2\}^{j_0}, 3, \{2\}^{j_1}, 1, \dots, 3, \{2\}^{j_{2n-1}}, 1, \{2\}^{j_{2n}}) \in \mathbb{Q} \cdot \pi^{4n+2}$$

for  $n \geq 0$  are proved.

In the present paper, we prove the following result.

**Theorem 1.1.** *For any non-negative integer  $n$ , we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{j_0+j_1+\dots+j_{2n}=2 \\ j_0, j_1, \dots, j_{2n} \geq 0}} \zeta^\star(\{2\}^{j_0}, 3, \{2\}^{j_1}, 1, \dots, 3, \{2\}^{j_{2n-1}}, 1, \{2\}^{j_{2n}}) \in \mathbb{Q} \cdot \pi^{4n+4}.$$

In section 2, we state a bit more general formula, which yields Theorem 1.1. In section 3, we prove our main theorem (Theorem 1.1). In section 4 we give more precise conjectures on some special evaluations of MZSV's, which are observed by numerical experiments. We give some remarks on our conjectures in section 5.

## 2. Key identities

To prove our main theorem, we describe some key identities here. It is suitable for stating our key identities to use the algebraic setup of MZV's and MZSV's introduced by Hoffman [9] as follows.

Let the symbol  $\mathfrak{H}$  be the noncommutative polynomial algebra in two indeterminates  $x$  and  $y$ , and  $\mathfrak{H}^1, \mathfrak{H}^0$  its subalgebras:

$$\mathfrak{H} := \mathbb{Q}\langle x, y \rangle \supset \mathfrak{H}^1 := \mathbb{Q} + \mathfrak{H}y \supset \mathfrak{H}^0 := \mathbb{Q} + x\mathfrak{H}y.$$

The degree of a word is called its weight. We put  $z_l = x^{l-1}y$  for  $l \geq 1$ . The algebra  $\mathfrak{H}^1$  is the noncommutative polynomial algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}$  freely generated by  $\{z_1, z_2, z_3, \dots\}$ . We define two  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear maps  $Z, \bar{Z} : \mathfrak{H}^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  respectively by

$$Z(z_{k_1} z_{k_2} \cdots z_{k_n}) = \zeta(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n), \quad Z(1) = 1,$$

and

$$\bar{Z}(z_{k_1} z_{k_2} \cdots z_{k_n}) = \zeta^*(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n), \quad \bar{Z}(1) = 1,$$

which are usually called the evaluation maps. The weight of a word is that of the corresponding MZV or MZSV.

It is well known that any MZSV can be expressed as a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear combination of MZV's and vice versa. For example, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta^*(k_1, k_2) &= \sum_{n \geq m \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^{k_1} m^{k_2}} \\ &= \sum_{n > m > 0} \frac{1}{n^{k_1} m^{k_2}} + \sum_{n=m \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^{k_1} m^{k_2}} = \zeta(k_1, k_2) + \zeta(k_1 + k_2). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Let  $\gamma$  be the automorphism on  $\mathfrak{H}$  characterized by

$$\gamma(x) = x, \quad \gamma(y) = x + y.$$

We define the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear map  $d : \mathfrak{H}^1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}^1$  by

$$d(wy) = \gamma(w)y$$

for any word  $w \in \mathfrak{H}$ . Then the linear transformation between MZV's and MZSV's is expressed as

$$\bar{Z} = Z \circ d.$$

Let  $* : \mathfrak{H}^1 \times \mathfrak{H}^1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}^1$  be the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -bilinear map defined, for any words  $w, w' \in \mathfrak{H}^1$  and any positive integers  $k_1, k_2$ , by

$$1 * w = w * 1 = w$$

and the recursive rule

$$z_{k_1} w * z_{k_2} w' = z_{k_1} (w * z_{k_2} w') + z_{k_2} (z_{k_1} w * w') + z_{k_1+k_2} (w * w'). \quad (3)$$

It is known that the product  $*$  is commutative and associative ([9]). The product  $*$  is called the harmonic product on  $\mathfrak{H}^1$ . We find that  $\mathfrak{H}^0 * \mathfrak{H}^0 \subset \mathfrak{H}^0$  and the map  $Z$  is a homomorphism with respect to the harmonic product.

As is defined in [14], we introduce another  $\mathbb{Q}$ -bilinear map  $\tilde{\text{III}} : \mathfrak{H}^1 \times \mathfrak{H}^1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}^1$  by

$$1 \tilde{\text{III}} w = w \tilde{\text{III}} 1 = w$$

and

$$z_{k_1} w \tilde{\text{III}} z_{k_2} w' = z_{k_1} (w \tilde{\text{III}} z_{k_2} w') + z_{k_2} (z_{k_1} w \tilde{\text{III}} w') \quad (4)$$

for any words  $w, w' \in \mathfrak{H}^1$  and any positive integers  $k_1, k_2$ . We see that the product  $\tilde{\text{III}}$  is commutative and associative. However, we notice that each of the evaluation maps  $Z$  and  $\bar{Z}$  can not be a homomorphism with respect to the product  $\tilde{\text{III}}$ .

Under these notations, we have the following formulas.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $a, b, c$  be positive integers. For any integer  $n \geq 0$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha_n) \quad & d(z_c^2 \tilde{\text{III}} (z_a z_b)^n) \\ &= 2 \sum_{j+k=n} d(z_c \tilde{\text{III}} (z_a z_b)^j) * z_{(a+b)k+c} + \sum_{j+k=n} (z_c^2 \tilde{\text{III}} (z_a z_b)^j) * d(z_{a+b}^k) \\ &\quad - 4 \sum_{i+j+k=n} d((z_a z_b)^i) * z_{(a+b)j+c} z_{(a+b)k+c} - \sum_{j+k=n} d((z_a z_b)^j) * z_{(a+b)k+2c}, \\ (\beta_n) \quad & d(z_c^2 \tilde{\text{III}} z_b (z_a z_b)^n) \\ &= 2 \sum_{j+k=n} d(z_c \tilde{\text{III}} z_b (z_a z_b)^j) * z_{(a+b)k+c} + \sum_{j+k=n} (z_c^2 \tilde{\text{III}} z_b (z_a z_b)^j) * d(z_{a+b}^k) \\ &\quad - 4 \sum_{i+j+k=n} d(z_b (z_a z_b)^i) * z_{(a+b)j+c} z_{(a+b)k+c} - \sum_{j+k=n} d(z_b (z_a z_b)^j) * z_{(a+b)k+2c}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.1 is the core property to prove our main theorem (Theorem 1.1). The proofs of theorems are presented in the next section.

### 3. Proofs

First we prove Theorem 1.1 by assuming Theorem 2.1, the proof of which is given next.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* By putting  $a = 3, b = 1$  and  $c = 2$  into  $(\alpha_n)$  of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(z_2^2 \tilde{\text{III}} (z_3 z_1)^n) &= 2 \sum_{j+k=n} d(z_2 \tilde{\text{III}} (z_3 z_1)^j) * z_{4k+2} + \sum_{j+k=n} (z_2^2 \tilde{\text{III}} (z_3 z_1)^j) * d(z_4^k) \\ &\quad - 4 \sum_{i+j+k=n} d((z_3 z_1)^i) * z_{4j+2} z_{4k+2} - \sum_{j+k=n} d((z_3 z_1)^j) * z_{4k+2}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

By the harmonic product rule (3), the third term of the right-hand side of (5) can be written as

$$-2 \sum_{i+j+k=n} d((z_3 z_1)^i) * (z_{4j+2} * z_{4k+2} - z_{4j+4k+4})$$

Evaluating (5) via the map  $Z$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{j_0+j_1+\dots+j_{2n}=2 \\ j_0,j_1,\dots,j_{2n}\geq 0}} \zeta^*(\{2\}^{j_0}, 3, \{2\}^{j_1}, 1, \dots, 3, \{2\}^{j_{2n-1}}, 1, \{2\}^{j_{2n}}) \\
&= 2 \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{\substack{j_0+j_1+\dots+j_{2i}=1 \\ j_0,j_1,\dots,j_{2i}\geq 0}} \zeta^*(\{2\}^{j_0}, 3, \{2\}^{j_1}, 1, \dots, 3, \{2\}^{j_{2i-1}}, 1, \{2\}^{j_{2i}}) \zeta(4n-4i+2) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{\substack{j_0+j_1+\dots+j_{2i}=2 \\ j_0,j_1,\dots,j_{2i}\geq 0}} \zeta(\{2\}^{j_0}, 3, \{2\}^{j_1}, 1, \dots, 3, \{2\}^{j_{2i-1}}, 1, \{2\}^{j_{2i}}) \zeta^*(\{4\}^{n-i}) \\
&\quad - 2 \sum_{i+j+k=n} \zeta^*(\{3, 1\}^i) \{ \zeta(4j+2) \zeta(4k+2) - \zeta(4j+4k+4) \} \\
&\quad - \sum_{j+k=n} \zeta^*(\{3, 1\}^j) \zeta(4k+2),
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where  $\{3, 1\}^l$  stands for the string  $\underbrace{3, 1, \dots, 3, 1}_{2l}$  and MZ(S)V of the empty index is regarded as

1. We know  $\zeta(2n) \in \mathbb{Q} \cdot \pi^{2n}$ ,  $\zeta^*(\{4\}^n) \in \mathbb{Q} \cdot \pi^{4n}$ , the formula (1) for  $m = 2$  and

$$\sum_{\substack{j_0+j_1+\dots+j_{2n}=m \\ j_0,j_1,\dots,j_{2n}\geq 0}} \zeta^*(\{2\}^{j_0}, 3, \{2\}^{j_1}, 1, \dots, 3, \{2\}^{j_{2n-1}}, 1, \{2\}^{j_{2n}}) \in \mathbb{Q} \cdot \pi^{4n+2m}$$

for  $m = 0, 1$  (see [1, 6, 8, 13, 23] for example). Therefore the right-hand side of (6) is expressed as a rational multiple of  $\pi^{4n+4}$  and we conclude the theorem.  $\square$

Next we prove Theorem 2.1. For integers  $a, b, c > 0$  and  $i, j, k \geq 0$ , we put

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{i,j} &= (z_a z_b)^i z_c (z_a z_b)^j, \\
B_{i,j} &= (z_b z_a)^i z_c (z_b z_a)^j z_b, \\
C_{i,j,k} &= (z_a z_b)^i z_c (z_a z_b)^j z_c (z_a z_b)^k, \\
D_{i,j,k} &= (z_a z_b)^i z_c (z_a z_b)^j z_a z_c (z_b z_a)^k z_b, \\
E_{i,j,k} &= (z_b z_a)^i z_c (z_b z_a)^j z_b z_c (z_a z_b)^k, \\
F_{i,j,k} &= (z_b z_a)^i z_c (z_b z_a)^j z_c (z_b z_a)^k z_b,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $z_l = x^{l-1}y$  ( $l > 0$ ). By the definition of the product  $\tilde{\Pi}$ , we obtain the following identities:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} z_c \tilde{\Pi} (z_a z_b)^n &= \sum_{i+j=n} A_{i,j} + \sum_{i+j=n-1} z_a B_{i,j}, \\ z_c \tilde{\Pi} z_b (z_a z_b)^n &= \sum_{i+j=n} z_b A_{i,j} + \sum_{i+j=n} B_{i,j}, \\ z_c^2 \tilde{\Pi} (z_a z_b)^n &= \sum_{i+j+k=n} C_{i,j,k} + \sum_{i+j+k=n-1} D_{i,j,k} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i+j+k=n-1} z_a E_{i,j,k} + \sum_{i+j+k=n-1} z_a F_{i,j,k}, \\ z_c^2 \tilde{\Pi} z_b (z_a z_b)^n &= \sum_{i+j+k=n} z_b C_{i,j,k} + \sum_{i+j+k=n-1} z_b D_{i,j,k} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i+j+k=n} E_{i,j,k} + \sum_{i+j+k=n} F_{i,j,k} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (7)$$

for  $n \geq 0$ .

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* The proof goes by induction on  $n$  such as  $(\alpha_0), (\beta_0) \Rightarrow (\alpha_1) \Rightarrow (\beta_1) \Rightarrow (\alpha_2) \Rightarrow \dots$ . We find that the identities  $(\alpha_0)$  and  $(\beta_0)$  hold by simple calculation. Assuming that it has been proved up to  $(\beta_{n-1})$ , we prove  $(\alpha_n)$ . The key identity is

$$d(z_{k_1} \cdots z_{k_n}) = \sum_{i=1}^n z_{k_1+\dots+k_i} d(z_{k_{i+1}} \cdots z_{k_n}), \quad (8)$$

where  $z_{k_{i+1}} \cdots z_{k_n} = 1$  if  $i = n$ . Using this key identity, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i+j+k=n} d(C_{i,j,k}) \\ &= \sum_{i+j+k=n} \sum_{h=1}^i z_{(a+b)h} d(C_{i-h,j,k}) + \sum_{i+j+k=n} \sum_{h=0}^{i-1} z_{(a+b)h+a} d(z_b C_{i-h-1,j,k}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i+j+k=n} \sum_{h=0}^j z_{(a+b)(h+i)+c} d(A_{j-h,k}) + \sum_{i+j+k=n} \sum_{h=0}^{j-1} z_{(a+b)(h+i)+c+a} d(z_b A_{j-h-1,k}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i+j+k=n} \sum_{h=0}^k z_{(a+b)(h+i+j)+2c} d((z_a z_b)^{k-h}) + \sum_{i+j+k=n} \sum_{h=0}^{k-1} z_{(a+b)(h+i+j)+2c+a} d(z_b (z_a z_b)^{k-h-1}) \\ &= \sum_{h+i+j+k=n-1} z_{(a+b)(h+1)} d(C_{i,j,k}) + \sum_{h+i+j+k=n-1} z_{(a+b)h+a} d(z_b C_{i,j,k}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{h+i+j+k=n} z_{(a+b)(h+i)+c} d(A_{j,k}) + \sum_{h+i+j+k=n-1} z_{(a+b)(h+i)+a+c} d(z_b A_{j,k}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{h+i+j+k=n} z_{(a+b)(h+i+j)+2c} d((z_a z_b)^k) + \sum_{h+i+j+k=n-1} z_{(a+b)(h+i+j)+a+2c} d(z_b (z_a z_b)^k) \\ &= \sum_{h+i+j+k=n-1} z_{(a+b)(h+1)} d(C_{i,j,k}) + \sum_{h+i+j+k=n-1} z_{(a+b)h+a} d(z_b C_{i,j,k}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i+j+k=n} (i+1) z_{(a+b)i+c} d(A_{j,k}) + \sum_{i+j+k=n-1} (i+1) z_{(a+b)i+a+c} d(z_b A_{j,k}) \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \sum_{j+k=n} \binom{j+2}{2} z_{(a+b)j+2c} d((z_a z_b)^k) + \sum_{j+k=n-1} \binom{j+2}{2} z_{(a+b)j+a+2c} d(z_b (z_a z_b)^k).$$

In the same way, we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i+j+k=n-1} d(D_{i,j,k}) \\ &= \sum_{h+i+j+k=n-2} z_{(a+b)(h+1)} d(D_{i,j,k}) + \sum_{h+i+j+k=n-2} z_{(a+b)h+a} d(z_b D_{i,j,k}) \\ &+ \sum_{i+j+k=n-1} (i+1) z_{(a+b)i+c} d(z_a B_{j,k}) + \sum_{i+j+k=n-1} (i+1) z_{(a+b)i+a+c} d(B_{j,k}) \\ &+ \sum_{j+k=n} \binom{j+1}{2} z_{(a+b)j+2c} d((z_a z_b)^k) + \sum_{j+k=n-1} \binom{j+2}{2} z_{(a+b)j+a+2c} d(z_b (z_a z_b)^k), \\ & \sum_{i+j+k=n-1} d(z_a E_{i,j,k}) \\ &= \sum_{h+i+j+k=n-2} z_{(a+b)(h+1)} d(z_a E_{i,j,k}) + \sum_{h+i+j+k=n-1} z_{(a+b)h+a} d(E_{i,j,k}) \\ &+ \sum_{i+j+k=n} i z_{(a+b)i+c} d(A_{j,k}) + \sum_{i+j+k=n-1} (i+1) z_{(a+b)i+a+c} d(z_b A_{j,k}) \\ &+ \sum_{j+k=n} \binom{j+1}{2} z_{(a+b)j+2c} d((z_a z_b)^k) + \sum_{j+k=n-1} \binom{j+1}{2} z_{(a+b)j+a+2c} d(z_b (z_a z_b)^k) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i+j+k=n-1} d(z_a F_{i,j,k}) \\ &= \sum_{h+i+j+k=n-2} z_{(a+b)(h+1)} d(z_a F_{i,j,k}) + \sum_{h+i+j+k=n-1} z_{(a+b)h+a} d(F_{i,j,k}) \\ &+ \sum_{i+j+k=n-1} i z_{(a+b)i+c} d(z_a B_{j,k}) + \sum_{i+j+k=n-1} (i+1) z_{(a+b)i+a+c} d(B_{j,k}) \\ &+ \sum_{j+k=n} \binom{j+1}{2} z_{(a+b)j+2c} d((z_a z_b)^k) + \sum_{j+k=n-1} \binom{j+2}{2} z_{(a+b)j+a+2c} d(z_b (z_a z_b)^k). \end{aligned}$$

These four identities add up to the left-hand side of  $(\alpha_n)$  because of (7). Therefore, again using (7), we obtain

$$(LHS \text{ of } (\alpha_n)) = \sum_{j+k=n-1} z_{(a+b)(j+1)} d(z_c^2 \tilde{\Pi} (z_a z_b)^k) \quad (9)$$

$$+ \sum_{j+k=n-1} z_{(a+b)j+a} d(z_c^2 \tilde{\Pi} z_b (z_a z_b)^k) \quad (10)$$

$$+ \sum_{j+k=n} (2j+1) z_{(a+b)j+c} d(z_c \tilde{\Pi} (z_a z_b)^k) \quad (11)$$

$$+ \sum_{j+k=n-1} (2j+2) z_{(a+b)j+a+c} d(z_c \tilde{\Pi} z_b (z_a z_b)^k) \quad (12)$$

$$+ \sum_{j+k=n} \binom{2j+2}{2} z_{(a+b)j+2c} d((z_a z_b)^k) \quad (13)$$

$$+ \sum_{j+k=n-1} \binom{2j+3}{2} z_{(a+b)j+a+2c} d(z_b (z_a z_b)^k). \quad (14)$$

Hence, it is sufficient to show that the right-hand side of the above identity equals the right-hand side of  $(\alpha_n)$ .

First we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j+k=n} d(A_{j,k}) \\ &= \sum_{j+k=n} \sum_{i=1}^j z_{(a+b)i} d(A_{j-i,k}) + \sum_{j+k=n} \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} z_{(a+b)i+a} d(z_b A_{j-i-1,k}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j+k=n} \sum_{i=0}^k z_{(a+b)(i+j)+c} d((z_a z_b)^{k-j}) + \sum_{j+k=n} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} z_{(a+b)(i+j)+a+c} d(z_b (z_a z_b)^{k-i-1}) \\ &= \sum_{i+j+k=n-1} z_{(a+b)(i+1)} d(A_{j,k}) + \sum_{i+j+k=n-1} z_{(a+b)i+a} d(z_b A_{j,k}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i+j+k=n} z_{(a+b)(i+j)+c} d((z_a z_b)^k) + \sum_{i+j+k=n-1} z_{(a+b)(i+j)+a+c} d(z_b (z_a z_b)^k) \\ &= \sum_{i+j+k=n-1} z_{(a+b)(i+1)} d(A_{j,k}) + \sum_{i+j+k=n-1} z_{(a+b)i+a} d(z_b A_{j,k}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i+j=n} (i+1) z_{(a+b)i+c} d((z_a z_b)^j) + \sum_{i+j=n-1} (i+1) z_{(a+b)i+a+c} d(z_b (z_a z_b)^j). \end{aligned}$$

In the same way, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j+k=n-1} d(z_a B_{j,k}) \\ &= \sum_{i+j+k=n-2} z_{(a+b)(i+1)} d(z_a B_{j,k}) + \sum_{i+j+k=n-1} z_{(a+b)i+a} d(B_{j,k}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i+j=n} i z_{(a+b)i+c} d((z_a z_b)^j) + \sum_{i+j=n-1} (i+1) z_{(a+b)i+a+c} d(z_b (z_a z_b)^j). \end{aligned}$$

Using the above two identities and (7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & d(z_c \widetilde{\prod} (z_a z_b)^n) \\ &= \sum_{j+k=n} d(A_{j,k}) + \sum_{j+k=n-1} d(z_a B_{j,k}) \\ &= \sum_{i+j=n-1} z_{(a+b)(i+1)} d(z_c \widetilde{\prod} (z_a z_b)^j) + \sum_{i+j=n-1} z_{(a+b)i+a} d(z_c \widetilde{\prod} z_b (z_a z_b)^j) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i+j=n} (2i+1) z_{(a+b)i+c} d((z_a z_b)^j) + \sum_{i+j=n-1} (2i+2) z_{(a+b)i+a+c} d(z_b (z_a z_b)^j) \end{aligned}$$

for  $n \geq 0$ . By this identity and the harmonic product rule (3), we write the first term of the

right-hand side of  $(\alpha_n)$  (divided by the coefficient 2) as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j+k=n} d(z_c \tilde{\prod} (z_a z_b)^j) * z_{(a+b)k+c} \\ &= \sum_{i+j+k=n-1} z_{(a+b)(i+1)} \left\{ d(z_c \tilde{\prod} (z_a z_b)^j) * z_{(a+b)k+c} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

$$+ \sum_{j+k=n} (j+1) z_{(a+b)j+c} d(z_c \tilde{\prod} (z_a z_b)^k) \quad (16)$$

$$+ \sum_{i+j+k=n-1} z_{(a+b)i+a} \left\{ d(z_c \tilde{\prod} z_b (z_a z_b)^j) * z_{(a+b)k+c} \right\} \quad (17)$$

$$+ \sum_{j+k=n-1} (j+1) z_{(a+b)j+a+c} d(z_c \tilde{\prod} z_b (z_a z_b)^k) \quad (18)$$

$$+ \sum_{i+j+k=n} (2i+1) z_{(a+b)i+c} \left\{ d((z_a z_b)^j) * z_{(a+b)k+c} \right\} \quad (19)$$

$$+ \sum_{j+k=n} (j+1)^2 z_{(a+b)j+2c} d((z_a z_b)^k) \quad (20)$$

$$+ \sum_{i+j+k=n-1} (2i+2) z_{(a+b)i+a+c} \left\{ d(z_b (z_a z_b)^j) * z_{(a+b)k+c} \right\} \quad (21)$$

$$+ \sum_{j+k=n-1} (j+1)(j+2) z_{(a+b)j+a+2c} d(z_b (z_a z_b)^k). \quad (22)$$

Note that the key identity (8) shows

$$d(z_{a+b}^l) = \sum_{i+k=l-1} z_{(a+b)(i+1)} d(z_{a+b}^k) \quad (23)$$

and

$$d((z_a z_b)^l) = \sum_{i+j=l-1} z_{(a+b)i+a} d(z_b (z_a z_b)^j) + \sum_{i+j=l-1} z_{(a+b)(i+1)} d((z_a z_b)^j) \quad (24)$$

for  $l \geq 1$ . By using the  $\tilde{\prod}$ -product rule (4) and the identity (23), the second term of the right-hand side of  $(\alpha_n)$  is calculated as

$$\sum_{j+k=n} (z_c^2 \tilde{\prod} (z_a z_b)^j) * d(z_{a+b}^k) \quad (25)$$

$$= z_c^2 * d(z_{a+b}^n) + \sum_{j+k=n \atop j,k \geq 1} (z_c^2 \tilde{\prod} (z_a z_b)^j) * d(z_{a+b}^k) + z_c^2 \tilde{\prod} (z_a z_b)^n$$

$$= \sum_{j+k=n-1} z_c^2 * z_{(a+b)(j+1)} d(z_{a+b}^k) \quad (26)$$

$$+ \sum_{i+j+k=n-1 \atop k \geq 1} \left\{ z_c (z_c \tilde{\prod} (z_a z_b)^k) + z_a (z_c^2 \tilde{\prod} z_b (z_a z_b)^{k-1}) \right\} * z_{(a+b)(i+1)} d(z_{a+b}^j)$$

$$+ z_c (z_c \tilde{\prod} (z_a z_b)^n) \quad (26)$$

$$+ z_a (z_c^2 \tilde{\prod} z_b (z_a z_b)^{n-1}). \quad (27)$$

Expanding the first and the second terms of the right-hand side by the harmonic product rule (3), we have

$$\sum_{j+k=n-1} z_c^2 * z_{(a+b)(j+1)} d(z_{a+b}^k) \quad (28)$$

$$= z_c \left( z_c * d(z_{a+b}^n) \right) + \sum_{j+k=n-1} z_{(a+b)(j+1)} \left\{ z_c^2 * d(z_{a+b}^k) \right\} \quad (29)$$

$$+ \sum_{j+k=n-1} z_{(a+b)(j+1)+c} \left\{ z_c * d(z_{a+b}^k) \right\} \quad (30)$$

and

$$\sum_{\substack{i+j+k=n-1 \\ k \geq 1}} \left\{ z_c (z_c \widetilde{\prod} (z_a z_b)^k) + z_a (z_c^2 \widetilde{\prod} z_b (z_a z_b)^{k-1}) \right\} * z_{(a+b)(i+1)} d(z_{a+b}^j) \quad (31)$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{i+j+k=n-1 \\ k \geq 1}} z_{(a+b)(i+1)} \left\{ (z_c^2 \widetilde{\prod} (z_a z_b)^k) * d(z_{a+b}^j) \right\} \quad (32)$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{j+k=n \\ j, k \geq 1}} z_c \left\{ (z_c \widetilde{\prod} (z_a z_b)^j) * d(z_{a+b}^k) \right\} \quad (33)$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{i+j+k=n-1 \\ k \geq 1}} z_{(a+b)(i+1)+c} \left\{ (z_c \widetilde{\prod} (z_a z_b)^k) * d(z_{a+b}^j) \right\} \quad (34)$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{j+k=n \\ j, k \geq 1}} z_a \left\{ (z_c^2 \widetilde{\prod} z_b (z_a z_b)^{j-1}) * d(z_{a+b}^k) \right\} \quad (35)$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{i+j+k=n-1 \\ k \geq 1}} z_{(a+b)(i+1)+a} \left\{ (z_c^2 \widetilde{\prod} z_b (z_a z_b)^{k-1}) * d(z_{a+b}^j) \right\}. \quad (35)$$

We see that identities

$$(29) + (31) = \sum_{i+j+k=n-1} z_{(a+b)(i+1)} \left\{ (z_c^2 \widetilde{\prod} (z_a z_b)^j) * d(z_{a+b}^k) \right\},$$

$$(26) + (28) + (32) = \sum_{j+k=n} z_c \left\{ (z_c \widetilde{\prod} (z_a z_b)^j) * d(z_{a+b}^k) \right\},$$

$$(27) + (34) = \sum_{j+k=n-1} z_a \left\{ (z_c^2 \widetilde{\prod} z_b (z_a z_b)^j) * d(z_{a+b}^k) \right\},$$

$$(30) + (33) = \sum_{i+j+k=n-1} z_{(a+b)(i+1)+c} \left\{ (z_c \widetilde{\prod} (z_a z_b)^j) * d(z_{a+b}^k) \right\},$$

$$(35) = \sum_{\substack{i+j+k=n-1 \\ i \geq 1}} z_{(a+b)i+a} \left\{ (z_c^2 \widetilde{\prod} z_b (z_a z_b)^j) * d(z_{a+b}^k) \right\}$$

hold. Therefore we have

$$(25) = \sum_{i+j+k=n-1} z_{(a+b)(i+1)} \left\{ (z_c^2 \widetilde{\prod} (z_a z_b)^j) * d(z_{a+b}^k) \right\} \quad (36)$$

$$+ \sum_{i+j+k=n} z_{(a+b)i+c} \{ (z_c \tilde{\prod} (z_a z_b)^j) * d(z_{a+b}^k) \} \quad (37)$$

$$+ \sum_{i+j+k=n-1} z_{(a+b)i+a} \{ (z_c^2 \tilde{\prod} z_b (z_a z_b)^j) * d(z_{a+b}^k) \}. \quad (38)$$

By (24) and the harmonic product rule (3), the third term of the right-hand side of  $(\alpha_n)$  (divided by the coefficient  $-4$ ) is calculated as

$$\sum_{i+j+k=n} d((z_a z_b)^i) * z_{(a+b)j+c} z_{(a+b)k+c} \quad (39)$$

$$= \sum_{j+k=n} z_{(a+b)j+c} z_{(a+b)k+c} + \sum_{\substack{i+j+k=n \\ i \geq 1}} d((z_a z_b)^i) * z_{(a+b)j+c} z_{(a+b)k+c}$$

$$= \sum_{j+k=n} z_{(a+b)j+c} z_{(a+b)k+c} + \sum_{h+i+j+k=n-1} z_{(a+b)h+a} d(z_b (z_a z_b)^i) * z_{(a+b)j+c} z_{(a+b)k+c} \\ + \sum_{h+i+j+k=n-1} z_{(a+b)(h+1)} d((z_a z_b)^i) * z_{(a+b)j+c} z_{(a+b)k+c} \\ = \sum_{j+k=n} z_{(a+b)j+c} z_{(a+b)k+c} \quad (40)$$

$$+ \sum_{h+i+j+k=n-1} \{ z_{(a+b)h+a} (d(z_b (z_a z_b)^i) * z_{(a+b)j+c} z_{(a+b)k+c}) \\ + z_{(a+b)j+c} (z_{(a+b)h+c} d(z_b (z_a z_b)^i) * z_{(a+b)k+c}) \} \quad (41)$$

$$+ z_{(a+b)(h+j)+a+c} (d(z_b (z_a z_b)^i) * z_{(a+b)k+c}) \quad (42)$$

$$+ z_{(a+b)(h+1)} (d((z_a z_b)^i) * z_{(a+b)j+c} z_{(a+b)k+c}) \quad (43)$$

$$+ z_{(a+b)j+c} (z_{(a+b)(h+1)} d((z_a z_b)^i) * z_{(a+b)k+c}) \quad (44)$$

$$+ z_{(a+b)(h+j+1)+c} (d((z_a z_b)^i) * z_{(a+b)k+c}) \}. \quad (44)$$

By (24),

$$(41) + (43) = \sum_{\substack{i+j+k=n \\ j \geq 1}} z_{(a+b)i+c} (d((z_a z_b)^j) * z_{(a+b)k+c}).$$

Also we have

$$(44) = \sum_{i+j+k=n} i z_{(a+b)i+c} (d((z_a z_b)^i) * z_{(a+b)k+c}).$$

Hence we find

$$(40) + (41) + (43) + (44) = \sum_{i+j+k=n} (i+1) z_{(a+b)i+c} \{ d((z_a z_b)^j) * z_{(a+b)k+c} \}.$$

Since

$$(42) = \sum_{i+j+k=n-1} (i+1) z_{(a+b)i+a+c} \{ d(z_b (z_a z_b)^j) * z_{(a+b)k+c} \},$$

we have

$$(39) = \sum_{h+i+j+k=n-1} z_{(a+b)(h+1)} \{d((z_a z_b)^j) * z_{(a+b)j+c} z_{(a+b)k+c}\} \quad (45)$$

$$+ \sum_{h+i+j+k=n-1} z_{(a+b)h+a} \{d(z_b(z_a z_b)^j) * z_{(a+b)j+c} z_{(a+b)k+c}\} \quad (46)$$

$$+ \sum_{i+j+k=n} (i+1) z_{(a+b)i+c} \{d((z_a z_b)^j) * z_{(a+b)k+c}\} \quad (47)$$

$$+ \sum_{i+j+k=n-1} (i+1) z_{(a+b)i+a+c} \{d(z_b(z_a z_b)^j) * z_{(a+b)k+c}\}. \quad (48)$$

By (24) and the harmonic product rule (3), the fourth term of the right-hand side of  $(\alpha_n)$  (divided by the coefficient  $-1$ ) is calculated as

$$\sum_{j+k=n} d((z_a z_b)^j) * z_{(a+b)k+2c} \quad (49)$$

$$= z_{(a+b)n+2c} + \sum_{\substack{j+k=n \\ j \geq 1}} d((z_a z_b)^j) * z_{(a+b)k+2c}$$

$$= z_{(a+b)n+2c} + \sum_{i+j+k=n-1} z_{(a+b)i+a} d(z_b(z_a z_b)^j) * z_{(a+b)k+2c}$$

$$+ \sum_{i+j+k=n-1} z_{(a+b)(i+1)} d((z_a z_b)^j) * z_{(a+b)k+2c}$$

$$= z_{(a+b)n+2c} \quad (50)$$

$$+ \sum_{i+j+k=n-1} \{z_{(a+b)i+a} (d(z_b(z_a z_b)^j) * z_{(a+b)k+2c})$$

$$+ z_{(a+b)k+2c} z_{(a+b)i+a} d(z_b(z_a z_b)^j)\} \quad (51)$$

$$+ z_{(a+b)(i+k)+a+2c} d(z_b(z_a z_b)^j) \quad (52)$$

$$+ z_{(a+b)(i+1)} (d((z_a z_b)^j) * z_{(a+b)k+2c})$$

$$+ z_{(a+b)k+2c} z_{(a+b)(i+1)} d((z_a z_b)^j) \quad (53)$$

$$+ z_{(a+b)(i+k+1)+2c} d((z_a z_b)^j)\}. \quad (54)$$

By (24),

$$(51) + (53) = \sum_{\substack{j+k=n \\ j \geq 1}} z_{(a+b)k+2c} d((z_a z_b)^j).$$

Also we have

$$(54) = \sum_{j+k=n} k z_{(a+b)k+2c} d((z_a z_b)^j).$$

Hence we find

$$(50) + (51) + (53) + (54) = \sum_{j+k=n} (j+1) z_{(a+b)j+2c} d((z_a z_b)^k).$$

Since

$$(52) = \sum_{j+k=n-1} (j+1)z_{(a+b)j+a+2c}d(z_b(z_a z_b)^k),$$

we have

$$(49) = \sum_{i+j+k=n-1} z_{(a+b)(i+1)} \{d((z_a z_b)^j) * z_{(a+b)k+2c}\} \quad (55)$$

$$+ \sum_{i+j+k=n-1} z_{(a+b)i+a} \{d(z_b(z_a z_b)^j) * z_{(a+b)k+2c}\} \quad (56)$$

$$+ \sum_{j+k=n} (j+1)z_{(a+b)j+2c}d((z_a z_b)^k) \quad (57)$$

$$+ \sum_{j+k=n-1} (j+1)z_{(a+b)j+a+2c}d(z_b(z_a z_b)^k). \quad (58)$$

The following identity is shown by [13, Theorem 7 (5)] (where the map  $d$  is denoted by  $S$ ) and (7):

$$d(z_c \tilde{\Pi} (z_a z_b)^n) = 2 \sum_{j+k=n} d((z_a z_b)^j) * z_{(a+b)k+c} - \sum_{j+k=n} (z_c \tilde{\Pi} (z_a z_b)^j) * d(z_{a+b}^k) \quad (59)$$

for  $n \geq 0$ . By induction hypothesis and (59), we have

$$(9) = 2 \times (15) + (36) - 4 \times (45) - (55) \quad (\text{by } (\alpha_0), \dots, (\alpha_{n-1})),$$

$$(10) = 2 \times (17) + (38) - 4 \times (46) - (56) \quad (\text{by } (\beta_0), \dots, (\beta_{n-1})),$$

$$(11) = 2 \times (16) + 2 \times (19) + (37) - 4 \times (47) \quad (\text{by (59)}).$$

Also we immediately find that

$$(12) = 2 \times (18),$$

$$(13) = 2 \times (20) - (57),$$

$$(14) = 2 \times (22) - (58),$$

$$0 = 2 \times (21) - 4 \times (48).$$

We have already observed that the left (resp. right)-hand sides of these seven equations add up to the left (resp. right)-hand side of the identity  $(\alpha_n)$ . Therefore we prove  $(\alpha_n)$ . In the same way,  $(\beta_n)$  can be proved by using the induction hypothesis and  $(\alpha_n)$  for  $n$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.  $\square$

#### 4. Conjectures

We predict more general formulas when we search relations among MZV's and MZSV's based on numerical experiments. For non-negative integers  $j_0, \dots, j_{2n}$ , put

$$\bar{\beta}(j_0, j_1, \dots, j_{2n}) := \zeta^\star(\{2\}^{j_0}, 3, \{2\}^{j_1}, 1, \{2\}^{j_2}, \dots, 3, \{2\}^{j_{2n-1}}, 1, \{2\}^{j_{2n}}).$$

We write two operators as

$$(j_0, j_1, \dots, j_n)_+ = (j_0, j_1, \dots, j_{n-1}, j_n, 0),$$

$$(j_0, j_1, \dots, j_n)^+ = (j_0, j_1, \dots, j_{n-1}, j_n + 1).$$

Let us denote by  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  the symmetric group of degree  $n$ . For a vector  $S = (j_0, \dots, j_n)$  and  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$ , we define

$$\sigma S = (j_{\sigma(0)}, \dots, j_{\sigma(n)}).$$

**Conjecture 4.1.** *Let  $n$  be a positive integer,  $j_0, \dots, j_{2n-1}$  non-negative integers. Put  $m = j_0 + \dots + j_{2n-1}$ . For a vector  $S = (j_0, \dots, j_{2n-1})$ , we have*

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{2n}} \overline{\mathcal{Z}}((\sigma S)_+) \stackrel{?}{\in} \mathbb{Q} \cdot \pi^{2m+4n}.$$

**Example 4.2.** For the vector  $S = (0, 0)$ , Conjecture 4.1 reads

$$\zeta^*(3, 1) \in \mathbb{Q} \cdot \pi^4,$$

which is shown in many ways. For the vector  $S = (1, 0)$ , Conjecture 4.1 reads

$$\zeta^*(2, 3, 1) + \zeta^*(3, 2, 1) \stackrel{?}{\in} \mathbb{Q} \cdot \pi^6,$$

which is verified in section 5. For the vector  $S = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ , Conjecture 4.1 reads

$$\zeta^*(2, 3, 1, 3, 1) + \zeta^*(3, 2, 1, 3, 1) + \zeta^*(3, 1, 2, 3, 1) + \zeta^*(3, 1, 3, 2, 1) \stackrel{?}{\in} \mathbb{Q} \cdot \pi^{10}.$$

**Conjecture 4.3.** *Let  $n, j_0, \dots, j_{2n}$  be non-negative integers. Put  $m = j_0 + \dots + j_{2n}$ . For a vector  $S = (j_0, \dots, j_{2n})$ , we have*

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{2n+1}} \overline{\mathcal{Z}}((\sigma S)^+) \stackrel{?}{\in} \mathbb{Q} \cdot \pi^{2m+4n+2}.$$

**Example 4.4.** For the vector  $S = (0)$ , Conjecture 4.3 reads

$$\zeta^*(2) \in \mathbb{Q} \cdot \pi^2,$$

which is well known. For the vector  $S = (0, 0, 0)$ , Conjecture 4.3 reads

$$\zeta^*(3, 1, 2) \stackrel{?}{\in} \mathbb{Q} \cdot \pi^6,$$

which is verified in section 5. For the vector  $S = (1, 0, 0)$ , Conjecture 4.3 reads

$$\zeta^*(2, 3, 1, 2) + \zeta^*(3, 2, 1, 2) + \zeta^*(3, 1, 2, 2) \stackrel{?}{\in} \mathbb{Q} \cdot \pi^8.$$

We expect that these conjectures are primitive, i.e., partial sums of the left-hand side of our conjectures cannot be rational multiples of powers of  $\pi^2$ . Conjecture 4.1 reduces to  $\zeta^*(\{3, 1\}^n) \in \mathbb{Q} \cdot \pi^{4n}$  if  $m = 0$ , and Conjecture 4.3 to  $\zeta^*(\{2\}^m) \in \mathbb{Q} \cdot \pi^{2m}$  if  $n = 0$ . Both have been already

proved (for example, see [13, 23]).

Moreover, we experimentally verify the following formulas in some cases. The formulas can be proved algebraically for lower weights by using known relations such as extended double shuffle relation ([10]). Some are discussed in the next section. But in general, we have not proved them yet.

**Conjecture 4.5.** A) For any integers  $n, m \geq 0$ , we have

$$\zeta^*(\{2\}^n, 3, \{2\}^m, 1) + \zeta^*(\{2\}^m, 3, \{2\}^n, 1) \stackrel{?}{=} \zeta^*(\{2\}^{n+1}) \zeta^*(\{2\}^{m+1}).$$

B) For any integer  $n \geq 0$ , we have

$$(2n+1)\zeta^*(\{3, 1\}^n, 2) \stackrel{?}{=} \sum_{j+k=n} \zeta^*(\{3, 1\}^j) \zeta^*(\{2\}^{2k+1}).$$

C) For any integer  $n \geq 1$ , we have

$$\sum_{\substack{j_0+j_1+\cdots+j_{2n-1}=1 \\ j_0, j_1, \dots, j_{2n-1} \geq 0}} \zeta^*(\{2\}^{j_0}, 3, \{2\}^{j_1}, 1, \dots, 3, \{2\}^{j_{2n-1}}, 1) \stackrel{?}{=} \sum_{j+k=n-1} \zeta^*(\{3, 1\}^j, 2) \zeta^*(\{2\}^{2k+2}).$$

## 5. Remarks

Instead of the assertion A) of Conjecture 4.5, we prove the following weaker identity:

**Proposition 5.1.** For any non-negative integer  $n$ , we have

$$2 \sum_{i+j=n} \zeta^*(\{2\}^i, 3, \{2\}^j, 1) = \sum_{i+j=n} \zeta^*(\{2\}^{i+1}) \zeta^*(\{2\}^{j+1}).$$

*Proof.* Using the cyclic sum formula ([15]) for the index  $(3, \underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{n-2})$ , we have

$$\sum_{i+j=n-2} \zeta^*(\{2\}^i, 3, \{2\}^j, 1) + \zeta^*(\{2\}^n) = (2n-1)\zeta(2n)$$

for  $n \geq 1$ . We also find

$$\zeta^*(\{2\}^n) = 2(1 - 2^{1-2n})\zeta(2n)$$

(see [2, 23]). Because of the Euler's formula  $\zeta(2n) = (-1)^{n+1} \frac{B_{2n}(2\pi)^{2n}}{2(2n)!}$  (where  $B_j$  denotes the  $j$ -th Bernoulli number), it is enough to show

$$(1 - 2n) \frac{B_{2n}}{(2n)!} = \sum_{i+j=n} (1 - 2^{1-2i})(1 - 2^{1-2j}) \frac{B_{2i}B_{2j}}{(2i)!(2j)!}.$$

This equality holds since the generating function of the left-hand side coincides with that of the right-hand side, that is,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1-n) \frac{B_n}{n!} t^n = \frac{t}{e^t - 1} - t \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{t}{e^t - 1} \right) = \left( \frac{t}{e^t - 1} - 2 \frac{t/2}{e^{t/2} - 1} \right)^2 = \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - 2^{1-n}) \frac{B_n}{n!} t^n \right)^2.$$

□

Lastly, we give a verification of A) and B) of Conjecture 4.5 in the first non-trivial case (in the case of  $m = n = 1$ ) by using the extended double shuffle relation proved in [10].

The extended double shuffle relation states as follows (see [10] for details). Let  $\pi : \mathfrak{H} \times \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$  be the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -bilinear map defined, for any words  $w, w' \in \mathfrak{H}$  and  $u, v \in \{x, y\}$ , by

$$1 \pi w = w \pi 1 = w$$

and

$$uw \pi vw' = u(w \pi vw') + v(uw \pi w').$$

The product  $\pi$  is commutative and associative on  $\mathfrak{H}$ , which is called the shuffle product. We immediately find that  $\mathfrak{H}^1 \pi \mathfrak{H}^1 \subset \mathfrak{H}^1$  and  $\mathfrak{H}^0 \pi \mathfrak{H}^0 \subset \mathfrak{H}^0$  hold. We denote by  $\mathfrak{H}_\pi^1$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{H}_\pi^0$ ) the commutative algebra  $\mathfrak{H}^1$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{H}^0$ ) equipped with the shuffle product. It is known that

$$\mathfrak{H}_\pi^1 \cong \mathfrak{H}_\pi^0[y]$$

holds (see [17, Theorem 6.1]), which means that any  $w \in \mathfrak{H}_\pi^1$  can be expressed as

$$w = w_0 + w_1 \pi y + w_2 \pi y^{\pi 2} + \cdots + w_n \pi y^{\pi n} \quad (w_0, \dots, w_n \in \mathfrak{H}^0),$$

where  $y^{\pi i} = \underbrace{y \pi \cdots \pi y}_i$ . We denote its constant term  $w_0$  by  $\text{reg}_\pi(w)$ . Then the extended double shuffle relation states as follows.

**Theorem 5.2** (Ihara-Kaneko-Zagier). *For any  $w_1 \in \mathfrak{H}^1$  and any  $w_0 \in \mathfrak{H}^0$ , we have*

$$Z(\text{reg}_\pi(w_1 \pi w_0 - w_1 * w_0)) = 0.$$

By using Risa/Asir, an open source general computer algebra system, we found the following two identities (of weight 6):

$$\begin{aligned} & d(z_2 z_3 z_1) + d(z_3 z_2 z_1) - d(z_2 z_2) * d(z_2) \\ &= -\text{reg}_\pi(xy * yx^2y - xy \pi yx^2y) - \text{reg}_\pi(x^2y * x^2y - x^2y \pi x^2y) \\ & \quad - \text{reg}_\pi(x^2y * xy^2 - x^2y \pi xy^2) + 4\text{reg}_\pi(x^3y * y^2 - x^3y \pi y^2) \\ & \quad + 2\text{reg}_\pi(x^4y * y - x^4y \pi y) - 5\text{reg}_\pi(x^3y^2 * y - x^3y^2 \pi y) \\ & \quad + 2\text{reg}_\pi(x^2yxy * y - x^2yxy \pi y) + 5\text{reg}_\pi(yxy^2 * y - yxy^2 \pi y) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & 3d(z_3 z_1 z_2) - d(z_3 z_1) * d(z_2) - d(z_2 z_2 z_2) \\ &= -\text{reg}_\pi(x^2y * xy^2 - x^2y \pi xy^2) + \text{reg}_\pi(x^2y^2 * xy - x^2y^2 \pi xy) \\ & \quad + 2\text{reg}_\pi(x^3y * y^2 - x^3y \pi y^2) + \text{reg}_\pi(x^4y * y - x^4y \pi y) \\ & \quad + 2\text{reg}_\pi(x^3y^2 * y - x^3y^2 \pi y) + 2\text{reg}_\pi(x^2yxy * y - x^2yxy \pi y) \\ & \quad + 2\text{reg}_\pi(yxy^2 * y - yxy^2 \pi y). \end{aligned}$$

Since the weight is relatively small, these formulas are verified by calculating patiently. Applying the evaluation map  $Z$  to these identities, we conclude A) and B) of Conjecture 4.5 when  $m = n = 1$ , which also give a verification of the second formulas stated in Example 4.2 and 4.4.

## 6. Recent progress and remaining conjectures

Here we remark on recent developments related to our problems on evaluating MZSV's. First, Theorem 1.1 has been generalized as follows. For any positive integers  $n, m$ , the sum of MZSV's whose indices are obtained by inserting the  $m$  number 2's into the sequence  $\{3, 1\}^n$  is in  $\mathbb{Q} \cdot \pi^{4n+2m}$ :

$$\sum_{\substack{j_0+j_1+\dots+j_{2n}=m \\ j_0, j_1, \dots, j_{2n} \geq 0}} \zeta^*(\{2\}^{j_0}, 3, \{2\}^{j_1}, 1, \dots, 3, \{2\}^{j_{2n-1}}, 1, \{2\}^{j_{2n}}) \in \mathbb{Q} \cdot \pi^{4n+2m}.$$

Three different proofs of this formula are obtained in [11, 18, 20]. (More combinatorial proof is given in [11], algebraically generalized one in [18] and a proof using generating functions in [20].)

Second, Conjecture 4.5 A) is recently proved in Tasaka and Yamamoto [19]. They also generalize it which contributes solving “half” of Conjectures 4.1 and 4.3. Let  $(A_n), (B_n)$  be assertions described in Conjectures 4.1, 4.3, respectively. Tasaka and Yamamoto [19, Theorem 1.4] show that,

$$\text{if } (A_l), (B_l) \text{ } (l < n) \text{ are true, then } (A_n) \text{ is also true.}$$

To prove Conjecture 4.1 and 4.3, we need to show another half, that is,

$$\text{if } (A_l) \text{ } (l \leq n), (B_l) \text{ } (l < n) \text{ are true, then } (B_n) \text{ is also true.}$$

Although this is still a conjecture, we recently find an explicit formula supporting our expectation.

**Conjecture 6.1.** *For a vector  $S = (j_0, \dots, j_{2n}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{2n+1}$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{2n+1}} (2n+1-4l) \cdot \bar{\mathcal{Z}}((j_{\sigma(0)}, \dots, j_{\sigma(2l-1)})_+) \bar{\mathcal{Z}}((j_{\sigma(2l)}, \dots, j_{\sigma(2n)})^+) \\ & \stackrel{?}{=} (2n+1)! \cdot \bar{\mathcal{Z}}(j_0 + \dots + j_{2n} + 2n + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Combining this conjecture with [19, Theorem 1.4], we conclude the pending Conjectures 4.1 and 4.3.

Lastly, Conjecture 6.1 does not refer to Conjecture 4.5 B). Our remaining problems are Conjecture 4.5 B), C) and Conjecture 6.1.

## References

- [1] Aoki, T., Kombu, Y. and Ohno, Y., *A generating function for sums of multiple zeta values and its applications*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 136, 387–395 (2008).

- [2] T. Aoki, Y. Ohno, *Sum relations for multiple zeta values and connection formulas for the Gauss hypergeometric functions*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 41 (2) (2005), 329–337.
- [3] R. Apéry, *Irrationalité de  $\zeta(2)$  et  $\zeta(3)$* , Astérisque 61, 11–13 (1979).
- [4] J.M. Borwein, D.M. Bradley, D.J. Broadhurst, P. Lisoněk, *Combinatorial Aspects of Multiple Zeta Values*, Electron. J. Combin. 5 (1998), Research paper 38, 12 pp.(electronic)
- [5] J.M. Borwein, D.M. Bradley, D.J. Broadhurst, *Evaluations of  $k$ -fold Euler/Zagier sums: A compendium of results for arbitrary  $k$* , Electron. J. Combin. 4 (1997), no. 2, Research Paper 5, approx. 21 pp. (electronic).
- [6] D. Bowman, D.M. Bradley, *The algebra and combinatorics of shuffles and multiple zeta values*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 97 (2002), 43–61.
- [7] L. Euler, *De summis serierum reciprocarum*, Commentarii academiae scientiarum Petropolitanae 7, 1740, 123–134.
- [8] M. Hoffman, *Multiple harmonic series*, Pacific J. Math. **152** (1992), 275–290.
- [9] M. Hoffman, *The algebra of multiple harmonic series*, J. Algebra **194** (1997) 477–495.
- [10] K. Ihara, M. Kaneko, D. Zagier, *Derivation and double shuffle relations for multiple zeta values*, Compositio Math. **142** (2006), 307–338.
- [11] H. Kondo, S. Saito and T. Tanaka, *The Bowman-Bradley theorem for multiple zeta-star values*, J. Number Theory **132** (2012), 1984–2002.
- [12] M. Kontsevich and D. Zagier, *Periods*, Mathematics unlimited—2001 and beyond, Springer, Berlin, (2001), 771–808.
- [13] S. Muneta, *On some explicit evaluations of multiple zeta-star values*, J. Number Theory **128** (2008), no. 9, 2538–2548.
- [14] S. Muneta, *A note on evaluations of multiple zeta values*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **137** (2009), no. 3, 931–935.
- [15] Y. Ohno, N. Wakabayashi, *Cyclic sum of multiple zeta values*, Acta Arith. **123** (2006), 289–295.
- [16] T. Rivoal, *La fonction zeta de Riemann prend une infinité de valeurs irrationnelles aux entiers impairs*, C. R. A. S. Paris Ser. I Math, 331.4 (2000), 267–270.
- [17] C. Reutenauer, *Free Lie Algebras*, Oxford Science Publications, 1993.
- [18] T. Tanaka, *A simple proof of certain formula for multiple zeta-star values*, J. Alg., Num. Theory: Adv. and Appl. vol.3 (2) (2010), 97–110.
- [19] K. Tasaka and S. Yamamoto, *On some multiple zeta-star values of one-two-three indices*, preprint (arXiv: 1203.1115).
- [20] S. Yamamoto, *Explicit evaluation of certain sum of multiple zeta-star values*, preprint (arXiv: 1203.1111).
- [21] Y. Yamasaki, *Evaluations of multiple Dirichlet  $L$ -values via symmetric functions*, J. Number Theory **129** (2009), no. 10, 2369–2386.
- [22] D. Zagier, *Values of zeta functions and their applications*, First European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. II (Paris, 1992), 497–512, Progr. Math., 120, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1994.
- [23] S.A. Zlobin, *Generating functions for the values of a multiple zeta function*, Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh. no. 2 (2005), 55–59; English transl., Moscow Univ. Math. Bull. 60:2 (2005), 44–48.
- [24] W. Zudilin, *One of the numbers  $\zeta(5), \zeta(7), \zeta(9), \zeta(11)$  is irrational*, Russian Math. Surveys **56** (4) (2001), 774–776.

(Kohtaro Imatomi) Graduate School of Mathematics, Kyushu University

744 Motooka Fukuoka-city, Fukuoka, 819-0395 Japan,

e-mail: k-imatomi@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp

(Tatsushi Tanaka) Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kyoto Sangyo University  
Motoyama, Kamigamo, Kita-ku, Kyoto-city 603-8555, Japan  
e-mail: t.tanaka@cc.kyoto-su.ac.jp

(Koji Tasaka) Graduate School of Mathematics, Kyushu University  
744 Motooka Fukuoka-city, Fukuoka, 819-0395 Japan,  
e-mail: k-tasaka@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp

(Noriko Wakabayashi) Faculty of Engineering, Kyushu Sangyo University  
3-1 Matsukadai 2-chome, Higashi-ku, Fukuoka, 813-8503 Japan,  
e-mail: noriko@ip.kyusan-u.ac.jp

## 多重ゼータスター値のある組み合わせについて

今 富 耕 太 郎  
田 中 立 志  
田 坂 浩 二  
若 林 徳 子

### 要 旨

3,1,...,3,1 の間に 2 を2つ入れたインデックスすべてを渡る多重ゼータスター値の和が  $\pi^2$  のべきの有理数倍となることを証明します。また、数値実験により観察された多重ゼータスター値の評価に関するある予想を打ち立てます。

キーワード：多重ゼータスター値, 評価, 複シヤッフル代数, 非可換多項式環, Bowman-Bradley の定理